|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | **竞争性选商最低价评审法评分表（否决项+商务部分）** | | | | | | | | | **评审人签名：** | | | | | | | | | **一、否决项（出现任何一项不符合项，即否决，不得参加评审）** | | | | | | | | | **序号** | **评分项目** | **评分标准** | **是否符合** | | | **备注** | | | **响应单位名称1** | **响应单位名称2** | **响应单位名称3** | | 1 | 响应保证金或履约保函或其他担保 | 按规定的要求提交响应保证金（不得超过响应报价总金额的2%）或履约保函或其他方式的担保： |  |  |  | 不适用 | | | 2 | 响应文件密封并及时送达 | 响应文件密封并且未损坏，响应文件在响应有效期限内送达 |  |  |  | 《竞争性选商响应文件寄（送）达记录》由经办人在送达时点即时记录，评审时现场出示 | | | 3 | 文件分装要求 | 技术响应文件和商务响应文件分开密封包装并且未损坏 |  |  |  | 不适用 | | | 4 | 营业执照、行业许可证书或资质证书 | 响应人按竞争性选商文件要求提供在有效期及经营范围或许可范围内的营业执照、经营许可或行业许可证书或资格、资质证书和员工资格、资质证书或操作证书的，并且所提供的资料及信息符合要求、为有效资料的；其中:分公司须出具其总部授权其参加竞争性选商的委托书 |  |  |  | 港口经营许可证 | | | 5 | 证书、资料等真实有效 | 响应人声明情况属实，提供的证书、资料等没有弄虚作假 |  |  |  |  | | | 6 | 严重过违法违规受到行政处罚和过错责任引发诉讼 | 没有因严重违法违规行为受到行政处罚或因存在严重违约、失信等过错责任引发诉讼的 |  |  |  |  | | | 7 | 严重失信情况 | 未被“信用中国”、“国家企业信用信息公司系统”等信用信息共享平台列入严重违法失信企业名单、失信人执行名单 |  |  |  |  | | | 8 | 严重失信情况 | 没有在最近三年内因违法违规行为造成较大社会影响或被政府部门、行业组织等列入“黑名单” |  |  |  |  | | | 9 | 严重失信情况 | 没有因在中石化总部及其分子公司选商和签订、履行合同时严重违约违规等而被列入“黑名单” |  |  |  |  | | | 10 | 一般违法违规及失信情况 | 近三年内一般违法违规及失信的数量较少，且经分析和评审认为不存在很大的合作风险 |  |  |  |  | | | 11 | 联合体提交共同响应协议 | 响应联合体应提交共同响应协议 |  |  |  |  | | | 12 | 虚假竞争 | 未发生负责人为同一人的不同响应人或者存在控股、管理等关联关系的不同响应人，对同一标段或者未划分标段的同一竞争性选商业务进行响应的 |  |  |  |  | | | 13 | 违法违规的响应 | 未发生响应人有以他人的名义响应、串通响应、以行贿手段谋取成交或以其他弄虚作假方式响应的 |  |  |  |  | | | 14 | 恶性竞争 | 响应人的报价明显低于其他响应人报价的或低于经测算已设定的底价，谈判小组要求其澄清时，该响应人能合理说明或者能提供相关证明材料，谈判小组认定该响应不为恶性竞争行为的 |  |  |  |  | | | 15 | 响应文件与竞争性选商文件重大偏差 | 响应文件不存在重大偏差（重大偏差是指：未对竞争性谈判文件的实质性要求和条件作出有效响应，包括A 按照竞争性谈判文件要求全面做出响应保证或做出的响应保证有较大瑕疵的；B 响应文件未经响应人盖章和响应人法定代表人（或授权委托人）签字，或虽有授权委托人签字，但授权委托书上无法定代表人签字的；C 响应文件载明的竞争性谈判业务完成期限超过了竞争性谈判文件规定期限的；D 明显不符合技术规格、技术标准要求的；E 响应文件载明的货物包装方式、检验标准和方法等不符合竞争性谈判文件要求的；F 响应文件中另行附有竞争性谈判邀请人不能接受的条件的；G 不符合竞争性谈判文件中规定的其他实质性要求的） |  |  |  |  | | | 16 | 澄清、说明或者补正 | 应按照要求对响应文件进行澄清、说明或者补正的 |  |  |  |  | | | 17 | 竞争性选商的响应人数量 | 符合竞争性选商的响应人数量要求 |  |  |  |  | | | 18 | HSE专项评审得分 | （工程施工适用）HSE专项评审得分应高于或等于80分 |  |  |  | 不适用 | | | 19 | 信用平台备案 | （环保技术咨询适用）须在生态环境部环境影响评价信用平台备案的单位。 |  |  |  | 不适用 | | | 20 | “黑名单”“限期整改名单” | （环保技术咨询适用）近两年内，未被生态环境部环境影响评价信用平台主管部门列入“黑名单”“限期整改名单”的单位 |  |  |  | 不适用 | | | 21 | 重点监督检查名单及通报 | （环保技术咨询适用）近两年内，未被列入环保技术咨询单位重点监督检查名单，且被通报总数未达到 3 次及以上单位，或未被项目所在地生态环境主管部门通报的技术咨询单位 |  |  |  | 不适用 | | | 22 | 其它 | 没有国家法律法规、总部制度和本规定要求的其他应当否决响应的情况 |  |  |  |  | | | 23 | 报价唯一性 | 商务标报价符合邀请文件格式要求，且只能有一个有效报价 |  |  |  |  | | | 24 | 报价有效性 | 报价未超过方案中设定的最高限价（最高限价计算方法） |  |  |  | ***船船过驳价格不超过13.5元/吨，船岸船过驳价格不超过19.5元/吨*** | | | **二、商务部分评分项：权重100%（若有分项报价的，应按总价进行评审打分）** | | | | | | | | | **序号** | **评分项目** | **评分标准** | **实际得分** | | | **标准分** | **备注** | | **响应单位名称1** | **响应单位名称2** | **响应单位名称3** | | 方案一 | 最低评价法 | 1.响应人有效报价=最低有效评审价时：得100分； 2.其余响应人得分=（最低有效评审价/该响应人有效评审价）×100 3.结果保留小数点后二位。 |  |  |  | 100 |  | | 报价部分得分合计 | |  |  |  | 100 |  | | 报价部分加权得分（报价部分得分合计×权重） | |  |  |  |  |  | |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | **序号** | **评分项目** | **评分标准** | **实际得分** | | | **标准分** | **备注** | | **响应单位名称1** | **响应单位名称2** | **响应单位名称3** | | 方案二 | 响应报价 **（降点率%）** | 1.评审基准价： 取所有有效响应报价（降点率%）的最大下浮降点率作为评审基准价。 2.响应报价（降点率%）得分： (1)经评审的有效响应报价=评审基准价时，得100分； (2)响应报价得分=100-〔（评审基准价-有效响应报价）×100×6〕,若计算结果低于0分，则按0分计； (3)结果保留小数点后二位。 |  |  |  | 100 | 公式中的“6”为系数，可根据不同业务过往经验调整。 | | 报价部分得分合计 | |  |  |  | 100 |  | | 报价部分加权得分（报价部分得分合计×权重） | |  |  |  |  |  | | 使用说明：上述方案供业务部门参考选用！业务部门也可以根据实际需要采用其他商务评审方法，如靶心法等等，但必须事先在邀请文件中写明具体评分规则和计算方法。 | | | | | | | | |